



# How does accretion of planet-forming disks influence stellar abundances?



2023, A&A 676, A87

huehn@uni-heidelberg.de

León-Alexander Hühn<sup>1,2</sup>, Bertram Bitsch<sup>2</sup>



UNIVERSITÄT  
HEIDELBERG  
ZUKUNFT  
SEIT 1386




<sup>1</sup>Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, Center for Astronomy, Heidelberg University, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Heidelberg, Germany


## Motivation

- Fast drift of large dust causes enrichment at chemical species' evaporation fronts
- Refractories evaporate closer to the star than volatiles  
→ Greater enrichment and earlier accretion
- **Dust and gas is accreted onto the stellar convective envelope**
  - Affects stellar abundances, accreted material is initially refractory-rich
  - Convective envelope shrinks over time  
→ Faster adaptation to accreted composition
- Pressure bump created by a massive, gap-opening **planet prevents accretion** of large solids outside its orbit
  - Significantly diminishes their enrichment in the stellar envelope
  - Species gaseous at the planet's location can still be accreted onto the star
- Observations of the HD106515 wide binary system of solar like stars reveal: Unexpected abundance differences between the constituents
  - HD106515A host a confirmed giant planet, HD106515B has no confirmed planets




Can the HD106515 abundance differences be the result of planet formation?


## Methods




- 1D log-radial **simulations** integrating disk advection-diffusion equation
- Dust: Two-component model[1], implementing fragmentation and drift limits
- Planetesimal formation model[2] based on local pebble flux
- Planetary seed grows by pebble accretion, gap opening by artificial viscosity
- Partitioning model[3] for chemical species (*left*: initial condition), with possible evaporation and condensation during runtime. *More details*: [3]
- Precomputed stellar convective zone evolution models[4] (*right*: solar-like star)




## Results




**Bad model:** Planet forms outside water ice line, oxygen not matched



**Better model:** Planet forms inside water ice line, better fit for oxygen



**Best model:** Planetesimals only form outside water ice line



**Alternative:** Inward migrating planet, no planetesimal formation

## Conclusions

- A massive planet influences chemical abundances of the host star by trapping solids outside its orbit, most significantly for ice
- Observed HD106515 abundance differences **can be explained with planet formation**
- Detailed observations of stellar binaries can give clues about formation location
- Here: **Formation inside water ice line, more efficient planetesimal formation around star without planet**
- Models suggest that efficient planetesimal formation in the outer disk might hinder giant planet formation



<https://huehn.page.link/ppvii>